AUCTORES
Globalize your Research

Journal of Clinical Research and Reports

Ashish Pande *

Open Access

Research Article

Digital Twins in Healthcare: A New Frontier for Personalized Medicine

Ashish Pandey *, Ankit Galav, Komal Mittal, Yuvraj Dhanesha, Meena Choudhary, Janet Jacob

Sr. Professor & Head Daswani Dental College affiliated to Rajasthan University of Health Sciences.

***Corresponding Author:** Ashish Pande., Sr. Professor & Head Daswani Dental College affiliated to Rajasthan University of Health Sciences.

Received date: May 07, 2026; Accepted date: June 09, 2026; Published date: June 19, 2026

Citation: Ashish Pandey, Ankit Galav, Komal Mittal, Yuvraj Dhanesha, Meena Choudhary, (2026), Digital Twins in Healthcare: A New Frontier for Personalized Medicine, *J Clinical Research and Reports*, 24(1); **DOI:10.31579/2690-1919/633**

Abstract

Digital twin technology virtual replicas of physical systems has emerged as a transformative innovation in healthcare. By integrating patient-specific data with computational models, digital twins enable real-time monitoring, predictive analytics and personalized treatment planning. This article reviews advances in digital twin applications across cardiology, oncology, orthopedics, and dentistry. Literature published between 2020 and 2026 was systematically analyzed using PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar.

Results demonstrate that digital twins improve diagnostic accuracy, optimize surgical planning and enhance patient engagement. In cardiology, digital heart models predict arrhythmia risk and guide ablation therapy. Oncology applications include tumor growth simulations for personalized chemotherapy dosing. Orthopedic digital twins simulate joint biomechanics, aiding prosthesis design. Dentistry benefits from occlusal simulations and implant stress analysis.

Challenges include data integration, computational complexity and ethical concerns regarding patient privacy. Regulatory frameworks are evolving to ensure transparency and safety. The review concludes that digital twins represent a paradigm shift toward precision medicine, augmenting clinician expertise with computational foresight.

Keywords: digital twins; personalized medicine; artificial intelligence; healthcare innovation; predictive analytics; patient-centered care; computational modeling; clinical research

Introduction

The concept of a digital twin originated in engineering, where virtual models replicate aircraft engines or industrial systems. In healthcare, digital twins extend this principle to human physiology, creating dynamic, patient-specific models that evolve with real-time data (1). Unlike static electronic health records, digital twins continuously update, reflecting changes in lifestyle environment, and treatment response.

The integration of digital twins into healthcare represents a convergence of computational modeling, artificial intelligence and biomedical engineering. These systems allow clinicians to simulate disease progression, predict treatment outcomes and personalize interventions. The potential impact spans preventive medicine, chronic disease management and surgical planning.

Globally, adoption is accelerating. The European Union has invested heavily in digital twin research through Horizon Europe programs, while the United States FDA has begun exploring regulatory frameworks for digital health technologies (2,3). Asia, particularly China and Japan, is advancing digital twin applications in cardiology and oncology.

Methods

A systematic review was conducted following PRISMA guidelines. Databases searched: PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar. Keywords: digital twin healthcare, personalized medicine, predictive analytics. Boolean operators combined terms (e.g., digital twin AND cardiology, digital twin OR oncology).

Inclusion Criteria

- Peer-reviewed articles (2020–2026)

- Clinical applications of digital twins

- Quantitative outcomes reported

Exclusion Criteria

- Purely theoretical or engineering studies without clinical relevance

- Non-English publications

Data extraction focused on domain, application, algorithm type, accuracy metrics and ethical considerations.

Results

1. Cardiology

Digital heart models simulate electrophysiology, predicting arrhythmia risk and guiding ablation therapy. Studies report improved procedural success rates and reduced recurrence (4). Digital twins also model

hemodynamics predicting heart failure progression and optimizing drug therapy (5).

2. Oncology

Tumor growth simulations enable personalized chemotherapy dosing. AI-driven twins predict tumor response reducing toxicity and improving survival outcomes (6). Radiation oncology benefits from digital twins that simulate dose distribution and tissue response (7).

3. Orthopedics

Digital twins of joints simulate biomechanics, aiding prosthesis design and rehabilitation planning. Patient-specific models reduce implant failure rates and improve surgical outcomes (8).

4. Dentistry

Occlusal simulations and implant stress analysis enhance prosthodontic planning. Digital twins predict restoration longevity and optimize material selection (9).

Discussion

Clinical Impact

Digital twins enable predictive medicine, shifting healthcare from reactive to proactive. They allow clinicians to test interventions virtually before applying them to patients, reducing risk and improving outcomes.

Ethical and Regulatory Considerations

Challenges include data privacy, algorithmic bias and clinician accountability. Explainable AI frameworks are essential to maintain trust. Regulatory bodies such as FDA and EU MDR are developing guidelines for digital twin validation (10,11).

Educational Implications

Clinicians must acquire literacy in computational modeling and data interpretation. Medical curricula should integrate digital twin concepts to prepare future practitioners (12).

Future Directions

Emerging trends include multimodal twins integrating genomic, proteomic and behavioral data. Quantum computing may accelerate simulations, enabling real-time decision support. The ultimate goal is empathetic intelligence machines that understand not only data but also human emotion.

Conclusion

Digital twins are redefining personalized medicine by enabling real-time, patient-specific simulations. They augment clinician expertise, improve outcomes and foster patient engagement. Ethical stewardship and interdisciplinary education will ensure their responsible integration into healthcare.

References

1. Bruynseels K, et al. (2024), Digital twins in health care: Ethical implications. Front Genet. 2020;11:493.
2. European Commission. Horizon Europe investments in digital health. Brussels;
3. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Framework for digital health technologies. Washington, DC; 2025.
4. Niederer SA, et al. (2024), Personalized digital heart models for arrhythmia prediction. Nat Rev Cardiol.;21(3):145–157.
5. Trayanova NA, et al. (2025),Digital twins for heart failure management. Circulation.;151(4):322–334.
6. Zhang Y, et al.(2025), Tumor digital twins for chemotherapy optimization. Cancer Res.;85(12):2104–2115.
7. Lee J, et al. (2025),Radiation oncology digital twins. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.;112(2):345–356.
8. Viceconti M, et al. (2025), Digital twins in orthopedics: Simulation of joint biomechanics. J Orthop Res.;43(5):876–884.
9. Revilla-León M, Özcan M. (2025), Digital twin applications in dentistry. J Prosthet Dent.;134(2):201–210.
10. Myszka A, et al.(2025), Ethical implications of AI in clinical practice. Int J Med Ethics.;48(3):201–209.
11. European Commission. Medical Device Regulation (EU MDR) for AI-based systems. Brussels; 2025.
12. Pandey A, et al.(2026), Integrating digital twin literacy in medical education. Int J Clin Res Rep.;35(4):301–312.
13. Corral-Acero J, et al. (2022), The digital twin in cardiology. Eur Heart J. 2021;42(21):2057–2063.
14. Björnsson B, et al. Digital twins in oncology. Front Oncol.;12:856.
15. Hunter PJ, et al. (2023), Biomechanical modeling in orthopedics. Biomech Model Mechanobiol.;22(1):45–59.
16. Schuler B, et al.(2024), Digital twins for oral implantology. Clin Oral Implants Res.;35(7):654–662.
17. Holzinger A, et al. (2023), Explainable AI in healthcare. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Data Min Knowl Discov.;13(2):e1483.
18. Viceconti M, et al. (2024), Regulatory science for digital twins. Comput Methods Programs Biomed.;240:107–115.
19. Zhang J, et al. (2025), Multimodal digital twins integrating genomics. Nat Biotechnol.;43(5):612–620.
20. Chen Y, et al. (2026), Quantum computing for healthcare simulations. npj Digit Med.;9(1):45.

DOI:10.31579/2690-1919/633