AUCTORES
Globalize your Research

International Journal of Clinical Case Reports and Reviews
Victor Mithi *

Open Access

Opinion

The Other Pandemic: Why Clinicians Are Leaving the Bedside

Victor Mithi [1,2]

[1]University of Global Health Equity, Kigali Rwanda

[2]Society of Medical Doctors, Lilongwe Malawi

***Corresponding Author:** Victor Mithi, University of Global Health Equity, Kigali Rwanda.

Received Date: February 06, 2025 | **Accepted Date:** March 06, 2026 | **Published Date:** March 12, 2026

Citation: Victor Mithi, (2026), The Other Pandemic: Why Clinicians Are Leaving the Bedside, *International Journal of Clinical Case Reports and Reviews*, 34(3); **DOI:**10.31579/2690-4861/1048

Abstract:

While global health attention remains fixed on emerging infectious threats, a quieter crisis is destabilizing health systems worldwide: the accelerating loss of clinicians from frontline care. Doctors, nurses, and allied health professionals are increasingly exiting clinical practice for non-clinical roles or migration, driven by chronic overwork, unsafe conditions, inadequate or delayed pay, and expanding administrative burdens. What is often framed as resilience has become normalized exploitation. Recurrent strikes across both high- and low-income countries are not isolated labor disputes but collective warnings of systems under strain. This attrition, though less visible than pandemics such as COVID-19 or Ebola, poses an equally serious threat to access, quality, and continuity of care.

A generational shift is intensifying this crisis. Generation Z clinicians place high value on ethical alignment, work–life balance, and psychological safety, and show limited tolerance for moral injury and rigid hierarchies. Rising turnover intentions and early exits from clinical roles signal the erosion of the traditional social contract between clinicians and society. With the World Health Organization projecting a global shortfall of ten million health workers by 2030—concentrated in Africa and South Asia—attrition compounds existing inequities. Clinician attrition is therefore not merely a workforce problem but a profound ethical indictment of failing systems. Retention must be prioritized through fair compensation, safe working environments, flexible career pathways, and embedded mental health support. Without restoring this moral and economic contract, no health system can endure.

Key words: COVID-19; psychological

Main Text

While the global health community raise alarms and prepares for emerging infectious pandemics, another silent crisis is undermining health systems worldwide: the exodus of clinicians from frontline care.

Doctors, nurses, and allied health professionals are increasingly abandoning clinical roles for policy, research, administration, or migration. In many settings, this disillusionment has escalated into organized strikes, with clinicians protesting unsafe working conditions and poor remuneration. Though less visible than outbreaks of Ebola or COVID-19, the attrition of clinicians carries consequences just as profound, threatening the very foundation of quality care delivery.

The reasons behind this shift are complex, but the common thread is exhaustion—physical, financial, and moral. Burnout and overwork are no longer exceptional but expected in clinical practice. Clinicians routinely work fifty or more hours each week, often in unsafe environments and with inadequate support. In the United States, physicians average nearly 50 hours weekly, while in resource-limited countries such as Nigeria, Ethiopia, and Malawi, workloads are heavier still, with paychecks that often arrive late or not at all(1,2) . This is not resilience—it is systemic exploitation masked as professional duty.

The economic bargain has collapsed. Despite carrying the weight of public health emergencies, clinicians in many settings earn wages that do not reflect their training, risk, or responsibility. Even in high-income countries, salaries are eroded by inflation, bureaucratic demands, and administrative burdens that sap time away from patient care. In the United Kingdom, junior doctors struck repeatedly over a real-term pay (3), while in Mozambique, prolonged strikes shut down entire hospitals (4). These are not isolated acts of defiance—they are a collective diagnosis of health systems in decay.

Importantly, a generational shift is intensifying the crisis. Generation Z clinicians—those born from the mid-1990s onward—are entering the workforce with different expectations. They prize work–life balance, ethical alignment, and supportive environments, and show far less tolerance for abuse, overwork, or rigid hierarchies than previous cohorts. A recent scoping review of Gen Z health professionals highlights this divergence, showing higher turnover intentions, dissatisfaction with poor support, and a readiness to leave clinical roles when expectations are unmet (5). New Early-career physicians in the U.S. often leave their first jobs within two years, citing disillusionment with governance models and

misaligned expectations (6). These patterns should not be dismissed as generational fragility—they are warning signals that the profession's traditional "social contract" is eroding.

The consequences are devastating. The World Health Organization projects a shortfall of ten million health workers by 2030, with the greatest deficits in Africa and South Asia (7). Attrition pours salt into this already open wound. While some studies suggest that short strikes do not immediately increase mortality, this conclusion is dangerously misleading. Patients who wait longer for cancer treatment, women denied safe deliveries, or children missing routine care do not always die immediately—but the morbidity, suffering, and trust lost are immeasurable (8). Every clinician who leaves multiplies this harm, and every strike deepens the cracks in systems already on the brink.

Nowhere is the crisis clearer than in the moral dilemma it creates. The Hippocratic Oath demands that clinicians place patient welfare above all else, yet today's reality forces a cruel question: should clinicians sacrifice themselves to uphold a failing system, or withdraw their labor to demand change? Strikes are often portrayed as betrayal, but in truth they are a desperate act of advocacy. When clinicians walk out, they are not abandoning patients—they are exposing governments and institutions that have already abandoned them. The real ethical breach lies not with the clinician, but with policymakers who allow dangerous conditions, starvation wages, and chronic neglect to persist.

Clinician attrition is therefore not merely a workforce issue but a profound ethical indictment. It tells us that the social contract between health workers and society has broken. The Oath has meaning only if health systems honor their side of the covenant: providing safe environments, fair compensation, and respect for the lives of those who serve. Without this, expecting clinicians—especially younger generations—to remain at the bedside is not noble, it is exploitative and safe defeat.

The solutions are clear, if not easy. Governments must treat retention as seriously as recruitment, ensuring competitive pay, safe facilities, and reliable supply chains. Institutions must offer hybrid career paths that allow clinicians to balance patient care with leadership, research, and teaching, reducing the sense of stagnation. Mental health and mentorship programs must become embedded, not optional. And professional codes should evolve to reflect reality: honoring the duty to patients while affirming the legitimacy of collective action when systems fail.

This "other pandemic" is silent but contagious. It spreads across borders, specialties, and generations, eroding the trust and resilience of health systems everywhere. Training more doctors will not stop it; only a fundamental rebalancing of the moral and economic contract will. For without clinicians at the bedside, no health system—no matter how sophisticated—can stand.

Colic of Interest

The author declares no colic of interest

References

1. Dugani S, Afari H, Hirschhorn LR, Ratcliffe H, Veillard J, Martin G, et al. (2025). Prevalence and factors associated with burnout among frontline primary health care providers in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review. Gates Open Res [Internet]. 2018 [cited Oct 2];2. Available from
2. Goldman AL, Barnett ML, (2023). Changes in Physician Work Hours and Implications for Workforce Capacity, 2001 to 2021 - Reply. JAMA Intern MedJul 3;183(7):744.
3. Saravanan S. (2025). Resident doctor strikes in the UK: a lived reality. Lancet [Internet]. 2025 Aug 30 [cited Oct 2];406(10506):907–8. Available from
4. Manguele ALJ, Craveiro I, Sidat M, Sengo DB, Cabral AJR, Ferrinho P, (2024).A look back at the strike by Mozambican doctors in 2013: what can we learn? BMC Health Serv Res [Internet]. Dec 1 [cited 2025 Oct 2];24(1):1–22. Available from
5. Kanste O, Ylisirniö M, Hammarén M, Kuha S, (2025). The perceptions of Generation Z professionals and students concerning health-care work: A scoping review. Nurse Educ Today [Internet]. Jul 1 [cited 2025 Oct 2];150. Available from
6. As Pressures Mount, Many Physicians May Quit: Report Shows Concerns Rising [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct 2]. Available from
7. World Health Organization. Global strategy on human resources for health: Workforce 2030. Who [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2025 Oct 2];64. Available from
8. Essex R, Weldon SM, Thompson T, Kalocsányiová E, McCrone P, Deb S. The impact of health care strikes on patient mortality: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Health Serv Res [Internet]. 2022 Dec 1 [cited 2025 Oct 3];57(6):1218–34. Available from

DOI:10.31579/2690-4861/1048