

# Impact of Over Six Months of Digital Hearing Aid Usage on Auditory Working Memory in Acquired Severe to Profound Hearing Loss

Arun Kumar Yadav <sup>1,2</sup>, Amra Ahsan <sup>1,3</sup>, Vijay Kumar <sup>2\*</sup>, Arun Banik <sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>PhD Scholar, Amity University Rajasthan, Department of AIBAS, Jaipur.

<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, SGT University, Department of AIBAS, Gurugram.

<sup>3</sup>Associate Professor, Amity University Haryana, Department Of ASLP, Gurugram.

<sup>4</sup>Professor, Vinayaka mission Higher Education, Pondicherry.

**\*Corresponding Author:** Vijay Kumar., Associate Professor, SGT University, Department of AIBAS, Gurugram.

**Received date:** November 24, 2025: **Accepted date:** December 05, 2025: **Published date:** December 15, 2025

**Citation:** Arun K. Yadav, Ahsan A, Kumar V., Banik A, (2025), Impact of Over Six Months of Digital Hearing Aid Usage on Auditory Working Memory in Acquired Severe to Profound Hearing Loss, *Journal of Clinical Otorhinolaryngology*, 7(4); DOI:10.31579/2692-9562/153

**Copyright:** © 2025, Vijay Kumar. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## Abstract

### Introduction

Hearing amplification devices providing ample auditory input that can help to decrease the cognitive strain caused by hearing loss. Depending on the kind and severity of hearing loss, using hearing aids has variable effects on auditory working memory. This study looked into the auditory working memory capacity after using hearing aids for more than six months.

### Method

Sixty individuals of mean age of 53.4± 6.07 years with severe to profound hearing loss in the age range of 40-60 years participated. Out of which 30 individuals with mean age 53.5 ±6.7 were using digital hearing aids and another 30 individuals with mean age 53.3 ±5.4 years were not using hearing aid. Forward and backward digit span task (DST) and Mini-Mental Status Examination (MMSE) were investigated to estimate the auditory working memory capacity.

### Results

Mean MMSE score of those individuals with severe to profound hearing loss (53.3±5.43) using (HAU) hearing aid (25.7±2.97) and individual not using (NHAU) hearing aid (22.1±5.11) were compared across each other. The result revealed that mean MMSE score of HAU was significantly higher than the mean score of NHAU. Mean DST (Forward, backward and total) score of HAU (6.40±1.47) was considerably higher than the mean score of NHAU (5.33±1.12).

### Conclusion

Results showed that mean MMSE and DST score was higher in the HAU category but when compared across the gender no statistical differences was observed. The change in auditory working memory and other cognitive abilities were attributed to the usage duration of the hearing aids.

**Keywords:** auditory working memory; hearing aids; hearing loss; mental health; social skills; aural rehabilitation

## Abbreviations

mini-mental state examination (hhia-s); digit span test (dst); hearing aid user (hau); non hearing aid user (nhau); quality of life (qol)

## Introduction

Hearing loss often impacts on physiological and sensory functions of the adults. Difficulty understanding speech in group and noisy environments are

chief complaint reported by the hearing impaired adults. There is no clinical and surgical management that restores the normal hearing of patients with Sensorineural hearing loss. Only aural rehabilitation options are available such as amplification devices. The cognitive load brought on by hearing loss and its effects can be lessened with the use of amplification devices that provide sufficient auditory input. Auditory rehabilitation through digital

devices (Such as Digital Hearing aid, Cochlear implant) in counteracting dementia [1, 2]. Aural rehabilitation can improve and reestablish the auditory perceptual abilities are necessary for spoken language and it also help to the central management of cognitive resources related with speech and Hearing processes. Hearing is an important variable to be considered in the assessment of cognitive function, and hearing loss is associated with incident dementia [3]. When the hearing loss is high in elderly than hearing loss is associated with falls and gait [3, 4]. The initial objective of this study was to determine whether hearing aid user experience of more than 6 months can enhance cognitive abilities individuals having severe to profound hearing loss. The cognitive abilities were assess using MMSE score and it was explore that whether MMSE score was change across the two age range which were 40-50 years and 51-60 years. Secondly whether auditory working memory capacity enhances after more than 6 months of hearing aid uses. Forward and backward digit span task were used to estimate the auditory working memory across the two age range which were 40-50 years and 51-60 years.

## Method And Materials

### Participants:

60 Participants in this study age range from 40 to 60 years, with a mean age of  $53.4 \pm 6.07$  years for those with significant hearing loss. 30 people, with an average age of 53.5 years, were wearing hearing aids, while another 30 people, with an average age of 53.3 years, were not utilizing any form of amplification. An audiologist assessed each and every patient. Routine audiometry, speech audiometry threshold tests were performed. People having sensorineural and mixed hearing loss with severe to profound deafness (71-90db); amplification using digital hearing aid with at least 6 months of hearing aid usage were included. Participants were not allowed if they had any comorbid illnesses, such as difficulties with auditory processing, neurodegenerative disorders, psychological problems including stress, or any other major medical concerns.

### Test material:

Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) adapted from Folstein et al (1975) was used in this study [5]. It is an assessment tool for evaluating the cognitive state of the participants. MMSE a 30-point cognitive assessment tool that looks at different cognitive domains such as orientation (time and place) 10, Registration 3, Attention/concentration 5, memory recall 3 but deliberately weighted towards tasks involving language such as language naming 2, language comprehension 1, three stage command 3, language writing 1, language repetition (reading) 1 and visuospatial abilities (drawing) 1. Both the hearing aid user and non-user groups each received 11 questions in total. Maximum score for each of the questions was varied from 1 to 5. The score of 25-30 suggested "Questionably significant", 20-25 as "mild cognitive impairment", 10-20 as "moderate cognitive impairment" and 0-10 as "severe

cognitive impairment. Digit Span Test (DST) is a sub-test of the working memory test used in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). This test is conducted in two segment and requires the participant to repeat gradually increasing spans of numbers (e.g., 2-7-4) first forwards (8 items, in each item there are two sub test e.g.: 1a. and 1b.) and then backwards (7 items in each item there are two sub test e.g.: 1a. and 1b.). Two trials are conducted for each specified span length, and a point is given for each properly remembered span. Each span is scored '1' (Pass) or '0' (Fail). Scores range from 0 to 30. In forward digit span Test maximum score was 16 and in backward digit span Test maximum score was 14. Forward Digit Span test: In this span task, in which order of items of increasing length have to be reproduced in the order they were presented, they majorly assess the functioning of the working memory. Backward Digit Span test: In this span task, in which order of items have to reproduce in the opposite order, these task majorly assess the central executive memory. Combined Digit Span test: In this tests combined the total score of backward and forward digit span task.

### Procedure:

The Hearing aid center at Gurugram and Best Hearing Solutions, two famous hearing aid clinics located in the Delhi NCR region of India, were randomly chosen for the people with severe to profound degree of hearing loss. All participants in this study had their case histories and ears examined at first. Individuals with variable hearing loss and any middle ear pathology were excluded. The frequencies used for conventional audiometry were (250, 500, 1000, 2000, 4000, and 8000 Hz), while the TDH 39 headphone was used for speech audiometry (SRT and SDS) with the Arphi Proton DX3 digital audiometer. Pure tone thresholds average calculated at 500, 1 kHz, 2 kHz and 4 kHz frequencies. Demographic data was gathered from each participant. In MMSE set of questions, question number 1,2,3,4,5 and 8 assessed the cognitive domains, 6,7,9 and 10 for language learning and question number 11 for visuospatial ability. All participants were capable of verbal and written responses of set of questions. Before administering the set of questions, all participants provided their verbal and written consent. The set of questions was supervised by the certified audiologist.

### Results

People with significant to severe hearing loss ( $53.3 \pm 5.43$ ) who were wearing (HAU) hearing aids ( $25.7 \pm 2.97$ ) and those who were not (NHAU) ( $22.1 \pm 5.11$ ) were compared on their mean MMSE scores. The average MMSE score was determined by adding the answers to questions 1 through 11. The two-tailed hypothesis was assumed at the level of significance of ( $P < 0.05$ ). The t-test was employed to evaluate whether there was a statistically significant difference between these two groups. The outcome showed that HAU's mean MMSE score was substantially higher than NHAU's mean score. Refer Figure 1




Figure 1

The working memory under cognitive domain was investigated across hearing aid user and non user who had severe to profound deafness. An attempt was made to understand whether uses of hearing aid in severe to profound individuals can reestablished the cognitive abilities specially the working memory abilities. Forward, backward and combined digit span task was used to measure working memory abilities. One of the earliest and the evaluation of forward and backward digit span is one of the neuropsychological tests of short-term verbal memory that is most frequently employed. In each case, the digit span is measured for forward and backward (reverse order) recall of the digit sequences. Digit sequences are presented beginning with a length of 2 digits, and two trials are presented at each increasing list length. Only discontinued test when the participant failed both

trials of the same span length (e.g., 3a and 3b) or when the maximal list length is reached (9 digit forward, 8 digit backward span test) Mean DST score of those wearing hearing aids and having severe to profound hearing loss ( $6.40 \pm 1.47$ ) and people not wearing amplification device ( $5.33 \pm 1.12$ ) were contrasted across each other. The mean DST score was computed by adding the forward and backward digit span task. The two-tailed hypothesis was assumed at the level of significance of ( $P < 0.05$ ). To determine whether there was a significant difference between these two groups, a separate t-test statistical analysis was carried out. The findings resulted that mean DST (Forward, backward and total) score of HAU ( $6.40 \pm 1.47$ ) was markedly higher than the mean score of NHAU ( $5.33 \pm 1.12$ ), [ $t(1,59) = 3.6, P = .001$ ]. Refer Figure 2




Figure 2

After noticing a large difference between the mean DST scores for the HAU and NHAU groups, it was also compared between genders. Or, whether there was a difference in the mean DST score between genders. In other words, whether the mean DST score differed across the males ( $8.41 \pm 2.17$ ) and females ( $7.62 \pm 1.85$ ) in both HAU and NHAU groups. Independent t-test was performed at ( $P < 0.05$ ) level of significance to check whether the mean DST

score of gender differed significantly in the groups. The result revealed that no any statistical significant difference in mean DST score across gender was observed. In both HAU [ $t(1, 58) = 0.22, P = 0.23$ ] group and NHAU [ $t(1, 58) = .44, P = .26$ ] group, no any statistical significant difference in mean DST score across gender was observed. Refer figure 3.




Figure 3

## Discussion

In this study AWM was investigated people with severe to profound hearing loss who were equally divided into two groups one wearing hearing aid (HAU) and the other not wearing hearing aid (NHAU). According to the examination of the data, the two groups (HAU and NHAU) had significantly different mean MMSE scores and mean DST scores. However, individuals wearing hearing aids had much greater cognitive abilities than non-users, including reading comprehension, visual and auditory working memory, and long-term declarative memory. But when compare the mean DST score across gender in both the groups, no any statistical differences were observed. Performance on any span task was unaffected by gender in a substantial way. As evident in this study, the rationale behind significant impact of hearing aid uses on cognitive performance and auditory working memory in the person with hearing loss depend on several factor. Review of literature support the findings in our study for reduce social isolation and improve depressive symptoms could explain the early effects of treatment for hearing loss in senior persons, particularly for short-term memory, cognitive function, and depression [6, 7]; Using electrical stimulation, the function and three-dimensional structure of the peripheral and central synapses may be preserved [8, 9]; auditory rehabilitation can counteract negative neuroplasticity processes [10]; Working memory and learning capacities may benefit from auditory/speech training, and gains in self-motivation, self-esteem, or self-confidence after rehabilitation treatments may also have a good impact on cognitive abilities. The central auditory system's capacity to combine binaural information and binaural loudness summation was improved by binaural hearing amplification. [11, 12]. Participants who received hearing aid rehabilitation within six months were included in the study. Less than six months of HA use might not be enough to restore working memory and cognitive functions, which are significantly damaged by the effects of severe to profound hearing loss. When hearing loss affects older people for a longer period of time, it frequently causes them to stop participating in many social activities, because of communicative challenges in social settings [13]. Such isolation-related behaviors may have an impact on both quality of life and socio-personal aspects of life. Reduced auditory and intellectual stimulation may result in central nervous system changes and may have an impact on the progression of dementia and

cognitive decline. [4]. As a result, a prolonged unaided hearing threshold may gradually lower overall quality of life. [14]. The findings of this study support the necessity for more research into cognition and working memory in people with greater degree of hearing loss who are wearing amplification device. Hearing loss that ranges from severe to profound can have varying effects on one's mental health and listening challenges. An important public health issue, Presbycusis can develop in reduced lifestyle quality, loneliness, reliance, and dissatisfaction. [15]. Similar to this, socio-emotional stress brought on by hearing loss might result in varied degrees of difficulties as people get older. [16]. Additional complicating factors in the presentation of symptoms related to working memory and cognitive function include familial support, personal stress coping mechanisms, socio-personal-professional participation, listening abilities, and prior experience. Elderly patients with cognitive impairment should not be denied the current possibilities for auditory rehabilitation since the hearing system should be viewed as a crucial window for research into neurodegenerative illnesses. [17, 18]. Therefore, a thorough for those with severe to profound hearing loss, a rehabilitation program is advised, which should include audiological intervention in addition to mental health enhancement. [19, 20]. This finding generally corroborates the effortfulness hypothesis, which postulates that the additional effort required for a hearing-impaired listener to comprehend speech depletes cognitive processing resources that might otherwise be used to encode speech content in memory [12]. In other words, bottom-up and top-down cognitive processes that control the processing of auditory information influence speech understanding in daily life [21, 22]. A hearing-impaired person can mentally make up for lost information by "filling in" the gaps because speech contains repetitive information. Consequently, top-down cognitive compensatory mechanisms can successfully conceal a peripheral hearing loss and aid the hearing-impaired listener in functioning more efficiently in ordinary listening contexts [16, 22]. The impact on cognition and memory in people who have been using hearing aids for longer than six months will be the subject of future research. The long-term effects of hearing aid use on mental health and working memory have not been thoroughly studied, as is the case in the literature. Additional research shall clear the impact of cognition and memory in those people who worn hearing aids for more than six months. The long-term effects of hearing aid use on

mental health and working memory have not been thoroughly studied, as is the case in the literature.

## Conclusions

This study's primary goal was to determine whether the mean MMSE and DST score were different for person with HAU when compared with NHAU. As a result, mean MMSE and DST score was elevated in the HAU category but when compared across the gender no any statistical differences observed. It was clear that the duration and use of the hearing aid influences the pattern of cognitive and working memory recovery. These results can support the development of client-specific counseling for enhancement of cognitive and working memory tasks. Findings proposed when a user habituated to listening with hearing aid helps to ease cognitive processing to understand speech.

## Acknowledgments:

Sincere thanks to all the participants of this study.

## Notes

### Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

### Author Contributions

**Conceptualization:** all authors. Data curation; all authors. Formal analysis: Arun Kumar Yadav, Vijay Kumar. Funding acquisition: Arun Kumar Yadav, Amra Ahsan. Investigation; all Authors. Methodology: all authors. Project Administration: all authors.

**Resources:** all authors. Software: Arun Kumar Yadav, Vijay Kumar. Supervision: Amra Ahsan, Vijay Kumar, Arun Banik.

**Validation:** all authors. Visualization: all authors. Writing-original draft: Arun Kumar Yadav, Vijay Kumar. Writing-review and **Editing:** Amra Ahsan, Vijay Kumar, Arun Banik. Approval of final manuscript: all authors.

## References:

- Martini, A., et al., Aging, cognitive load, dementia and hearing loss. *Audiology and Neurotology*, 2015. 19(Suppl. 1): p. 2-5.
- Mosnier, I., et al., Improvement of cognitive function after cochlear implantation in elderly patients. *JAMA Otolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery*, 2015. 141(5): p. 442-450.
- Lin, F.R., et al., Hearing loss and incident dementia. *Archives of neurology*, 2011. 68(2): p. 214-220.
- Arlinger, S., Negative consequences of uncorrected hearing loss—a review. *International journal of audiology*, 2003. 42: p. 2S17-2S20.
- Kang, Y., D.-L. NA, and S. Hahn, A validity study on the Korean Mini-Mental State Examination (K-MMSE) in dementia patients. *Journal of the Korean neurological association*, 1997: p. 300-308.
- Dawes, P., et al., Hearing assessment and rehabilitation for people living with dementia. *Ear and hearing*, 2022. 43(4): p. 1089.
- Acar, B., et al., Effects of hearing aids on cognitive functions and depressive signs in elderly people. *Archives of gerontology and geriatrics*, 2011. 52(3): p. 250-252.
- Ryugo, D., E. Kretzmer, and J. Niparko, Restoration of auditory nerve synapses in cats by cochlear implants. *Science*, 2005. 310(5753): p. 1490-1492.
- Guo, L., et al., Concurrent hearing and genetic screening in a general newborn population. *Human genetics*, 2020. 139: p. 521-530.
- Lazard, D.S., K.B. Doelling, and L.H. Arnal, Plasticity after hearing rehabilitation in the aging brain. *Trends in Hearing*, 2023. 27: p. 23312165231156412.
- Ciorba, A., et al., The impact of hearing loss on the quality of life of elderly adults. *Clinical interventions in aging*, 2012: p. 159-163.
- Wong, P.C., et al., Aging and cortical mechanisms of speech perception in noise. *Neuropsychologia*, 2009. 47(3): p. 693-703.
- Shukla, A., et al., Hearing loss, loneliness, and social isolation: a systematic review. *Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery*, 2020. 162(5): p. 622-633.
- Xiang, X., et al., Disability type, depression, and antidepressants use among older adults in the United States. *Aging & Mental Health*, 2020. 24(1): p. 27-34.
- Ciorba, A., et al., Identifying congenital hearing impairment: preliminary results from a comparative study using objective and subjective audiometric protocols. *Acta Otorhinolaryngologica Italica*, 2013. 33(1): p. 29.
- Tesch-Römer, C., Psychological effects of hearing aid use in older adults. *The Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*, 1997. 52(3): p. P127-P138.
- Castiglione, A., et al., Aging, cognitive decline and hearing loss: effects of auditory rehabilitation and training with hearing aids and cochlear implants on cognitive function and depression among older adults. *Audiology and Neurotology*, 2016. 21(Suppl. 1): p. 21-28.
- Oh, I.-H., et al., Hearing loss as a function of aging and diabetes mellitus: a cross sectional study. *PLoS one*, 2014. 9(12): p. e116161.
- Bott, A. and G. Saunders, A scoping review of studies investigating hearing loss, social isolation and/or loneliness in adults. *International Journal of Audiology*, 2021. 60(sup2): p. 30-46.
- Yadav, A.K., A. Ahsan, and V. Kumar, Impact of Hearing Aid Usage on Emotional and Social Skills in Persons With Severe to Profound Hearing Loss. *Journal of Audiology and Otology*, 2023. 27(1): p. 10-15.
- Kalluri, S. and L.E. Humes, Hearing technology and cognition. 2012.
- Desjardins, J.L. and K.A. Doherty, The effect of hearing aid noise reduction on listening effort in hearing-impaired adults. *Ear and hearing*, 2014. 35(6): p. 600-610.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License

To Submit Your Article Click Here: [Submit Manuscript](#)

DOI:[10.31579/2692-9562/153](https://doi.org/10.31579/2692-9562/153)

**Ready to submit your research? Choose Auctores and benefit from:**

- fast, convenient online submission
- rigorous peer review by experienced research in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- authors retain copyrights
- unique DOI for all articles
- immediate, unrestricted online access

At Auctores, research is always in progress.

Learn more <https://www.auctoresonline.com/journals/journal-of-clinical-otorhinolaryngology>